

PINS Keeping Girls in Focus event evaluation

40 delegates responded to the request to complete an evaluation. Firstly they were asked to rate the quality of a number of aspects of the event on a scale of 1 to 6:

6: Excellent

5: Very good - major strengths

4: Good, important strengths with areas for improvement

3: Adequate, strengths just outweigh weaknesses

2: Weak, important weaknesses

1: Unsatisfactory, major weaknesses

Please score the event on these things



There was an opportunity to add further comments with this prompt: **Is there anything else you want to tell us about your experience of the event?** 15 delegates responded:

General comments:

- Another excellent PINS seminar: the speakers were all very good and have evoked much thought for future practice. Thank you.
- Brilliant seminar, maybe the practice based workshops could have reported back for those who missed the other 3 workshops?
- Thoroughly enjoyed the day. First time I've been to a PINS event - will be back. Liked all 3 speakers all brought different skills and info to the table.
- Overall the day was positive, namely the workshops which were excellent from the two I attended (LGBT Youth and HOTS/Young Mums). Early part of the day felt focus and relevance was very focused around schools and those working with schools rather than a wider focus on inclusion for girls in education and how we all are working in partnership to enable and empower girls.
- I'm really interested in stats and so it would have been useful if the slides had been printed bigger, otherwise excellent conference and great speakers.

- Hard wooden chairs very uncomfortable to sit on all day. It was very much based on secondary young people, would like a bit more on early intervention.
- Good mix of presentations and activities' – opportunities to talk, network and reflect.

Comments specifically about the plenary presentations and practice-based workshops/presentations:

- Very thought-provoking presentation from Gillean got me looking at gender and educational 'labels' differently... Thank you, enjoyable and useful day. Dr Gillean McCluskey's presentation was of great interest, well presented, paced and delivered (as were all presentations).
- It would have been good to have practice groups related to younger age groups.
- Academic contributions from Gillean McCluskey and John McKendrick were very good. Workshop input was less so. Disappointed that 'gender' was misused – people I think were referring to sex.
- Girls from Girls Brigade were inspirational and a credit to themselves and organisation.
- Workshops, although informative, were more of presentations. Didn't feel there was enough about practice that could be taken forward. Speakers were very informative.
- Maybe I misunderstood; I would have liked more information about the practice rather than the service set-up. PS Seats hard.
- I'd have liked the LGBT group to get behind the figures. Too much time on percentages and not enough analysis.

Other:

- Was great to be provided with enough vegan food and bonus that it was delicious and healthy.